

~~INTERNAL USE ONLY~~

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel  
Tuesday - 27 June 1978

Page 3

STAT

9. (Unclassified - MG) LIAISON Received a call from Mr. Beach, House Committee on Standards of Official Conduct staff, who asked if the Agency had a list of all American companies that do business with Haiti. After checking with NFAC/CSS, and [REDACTED] OLC, I called Beach back and told him that we do not have any such list and went on to say that this is not the kind of information which the CIA is authorized to collect since it does not involve foreign intelligence.

10. (Unclassified - MG) LIAISON Returned via courier to Carol Wiik, House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence staff, the 30 November 1977 and 15 December 1977 Committee transcripts.

STAT

11. (Unclassified - ELS) LIAISON Called Pete McGough, GAO, to ask the status of the Reconnaissance/Intelligence study. He said it was in final typing for publication and would probably be published in two weeks. I relayed this to [REDACTED], OLC. McGough also said GAO was interested in extending some previous clearances which had been canceled. I told him I was not sure that we had reached a firm policy and suggested that they continue to send their requests over. I warned him that they might get turned down.

12. (Unclassified - ELS) LIAISON Called Jim Fellenbaum, Senate Appropriations Committee staff, about his questions concerning a [REDACTED] 25X1 activity, and told him that Admiral Shapiro, Deputy Chief of Naval Intelligence, hoped to brief him on this matter and would be in touch shortly. 25X1

13. (Unclassified - RJW) LEGISLATION Received a call from Lawrence Storch, State Department, who was calling to return my call. I asked Mr. Storch about the progress the State Department was making on the Title IV (H. R. 12240), "Intelligence Authorization bill" letter. Mr. Storch said that State Department was waiting for our changes, viz., our inclusion in the latest draft of expansible language with regard to the CI impact of Title IV. I told Mr. Storch that it was my clear recollection that as a result of the 22 June meeting the burden was on State Department to make the modifications. Apparently, State has not moved to date to revise the letter. In the interests of time I said we would revise the letter to reflect a greater CI concern and get it to him tomorrow for State's input on a Helsinki conference since that avenue had already been explored and rejected by the other parties of interest.

~~INTERNAL USE ONLY~~

MORI/CDF